

**San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments
 FY 2026-2029 MSP Active Transportation and First/Last Mile & Complete Streets
 Funding Application Scoring Rubric**

Section 1: Project Category Introductions

- Category 1: Previous Awarded Project Gap Funding
 - Up to \$10 million will be awarded to eligible projects that were previously awarded funding through the SGVCOG’s MSP program.
- Category 2: New Project Funding
 - Up to \$25 million will be awarded to “new” projects that have not previously been awarded through the SGVCOG’s MSP program.
 - Previously-awarded agencies who are seeking funding for a different project may apply under this category.

Should any category be undersubscribed, the scoring panel is instructed to redistribute surplus funds to other categories based on the highest scoring projects yet unfunded in the other categories.

Section 2: Scoring Rubric

Overview:

Project Feasibility	35 Points
Regional Impact	45 Points
Demonstrated Support	20 Points
TOTAL	100 Points

Project Feasibility - Project Schedule (5 Points):

The submitted schedule fully incorporates necessary phases, provides adequate time to complete the phases, describes how the schedule can be met, and highlights steps taken to expend the funds within the funding deadlines.	5 Points
The submitted schedule contains enough detail and/or organization on the necessary phases, how the schedule can be met, and steps taken to expend the funds within the funding deadlines; however, some areas are unclear and/or some details are lacking .	4 Points
The submitted schedule is poorly developed or vague in outlining the necessary phases, how the schedule can be met, and steps taken to expend the funds within the funding deadlines.	2 Points

The applicant failed to incorporate necessary phases and/or does not provide adequate time to complete the phases, provide information on how the schedule can be met, and highlight steps taken to expend the funds within the funding deadlines.	0 Points
---	----------

Project Feasibility - Funding Strategy and Budget (5 Points):

The proposal provides realistic and detailed project funding strategy, budget, and cost estimates. Cost effectiveness is apparent .	5 Points
The proposal provides enough detail and/or organization on the project funding strategy, budget, and cost estimates. Details are mostly consistent with the proposed project and the cost effectiveness is somewhat apparent .	4 Points
The proposal lacks sufficient detail but is mostly consistent with the proposed project. Information on the project funding strategy, budget, and cost estimates are lacking . Cost effectiveness is not as apparent .	2 Points
The applicant failed to provide information on project funding strategy, budget, and/or cost estimates.	0 Points

Project Feasibility - Local Match (10 Points):

The proposal allows the full required local match to be met for all other funding sources.	10 Points
The proposal fulfills the required local match for at least one other funding source.	7 Points
The proposal contributes to a required local match set by other funding sources.	4 Points
The proposed project does not require any additional local match, the requested funding is solely gap funding.	0 Points

Project Feasibility - Capital Improvement Plan (5 Points):

The proposal includes a project that is identifiable in at least one participating agency's five-year Capital Improvement Plan.	5 Points
The proposal does not include a project that is identifiable in at least one participating agency's five-year Capital Improvement Plan.	0 Points

Project Feasibility - Project Readiness (10 Points):

The proposed project has completed one or more project phase (e.g. PAED, PS&E) and is on track to be shovel ready or under construction by the requested allocation year.	10 Points
The proposed project has not completed any phase of work, but is on track to be shovel ready or under construction by the requested allocation year.	7 Points
The proposed project is not on track to have completed its design, engineering, and environmental phases by the requested allocation year.	0 Points

Regional Impact - Mobility and Accessibility (10 Points):

The proposed project clearly and convincingly demonstrates that it improves traffic flow, relieves congestion, improves access to destinations such as jobs, recreation, medical facilities, and schools, and enables residents, workers, and visitors to travel freely and quickly throughout the San Gabriel Valley.	10 Points
The proposed project sufficiently demonstrates that it improves traffic flow, relieves congestion, improves access to destinations such as jobs, recreation, medical facilities, and schools, and enables residents, workers, and visitors to travel freely and quickly throughout the San Gabriel Valley.	7 Points
The proposed project somewhat demonstrates that it improves traffic flow, relieves congestion, improves access to destinations such as jobs, recreation, medical facilities, and schools, and enables residents, workers, and visitors to travel freely and quickly throughout the San Gabriel Valley.	4 Points
Evaluators can award no points in this section if the applicant does not demonstrate that the proposed project improves traffic flow, relieves congestion, improves access to destinations such as jobs, recreation, medical facilities, and schools, and enables residents, workers, and visitors to travel freely and quickly throughout the San Gabriel Valley.	0 Points

Regional Impact - Safety (10 Points):

The proposed project clearly and convincingly demonstrates that it improves access to transit facilities, enhances safety, and corrects unsafe conditions in areas of heavy traffic, high transit use, and dense pedestrian activity where it is not a result of lack of normal maintenance.	10 Points
The proposed project sufficiently demonstrates that it improves access to transit facilities, enhances safety, and corrects unsafe conditions in areas of heavy traffic, high transit use, and dense pedestrian activity where it is not a result of lack of normal maintenance.	7 Points

The proposed project somewhat demonstrates that it improves access to transit facilities, enhances safety, and corrects unsafe conditions in areas of heavy traffic, high transit use, and dense pedestrian activity where it is not a result of lack of normal maintenance.	4 Points
Evaluators can award no points in this section if the applicant does not demonstrate that the proposed project improves access to transit facilities, enhances safety, and corrects unsafe conditions in areas of heavy traffic, high transit use, and dense pedestrian activity where it is not a result of lack of normal maintenance.	0 Points

Regional Impact - Demonstrated Need (10 Points):

The proposed project clearly and convincingly demonstrates that it addresses specific active transportation and/or first/last mile & complete streets needs in the community and benefits to disadvantaged communities, including transit dependent populations and those who have been historically barred from transit or active transportation facilities due to accessibility barriers.	10 Points
The proposed project sufficiently demonstrates that it addresses specific active transportation and/or first/last mile & complete streets needs in the community and benefits to disadvantaged communities, including transit dependent populations and those who have been historically barred from transit or active transportation facilities due to accessibility barriers.	7 Points
The proposed project somewhat demonstrates that it addresses specific active transportation and/or first/last mile & complete streets needs in the community and benefits to disadvantaged communities, including transit dependent populations and those who have been historically barred from transit or active transportation facilities due to accessibility barriers.	4 Points
Evaluators can award no points in this section if the applicant does not demonstrate that the proposed project addresses specific active transportation and/or first/last mile needs in the community and benefits to disadvantaged communities, including transit dependent populations and those who have been historically barred from transit or active transportation facilities due to accessibility barriers.	0 Points

Regional Impact – Equity Focus Community (10 Points):

The proposed project is located in, or substantially located in, a Metro-defined Equity Focus Community (EFC); the proposed project has demonstrated a clear connection and benefit to that EFC; the applicant has a credible and supported expectation that a target population will use and benefit from the project.	10 Points
The proposed project is partially located in or adjacent to a Metro-defined EFC and will serve and enhance mobility for that EFC; or fills a key gap in a mobility corridor serving an EFC; the applicant has a reasonable expectation that a target population will use and benefit from the project.	7 Points
The proposed project has only a weak connection to enhancing service for	4 Points

an adjacent, Metro-defined EFC.	
The proposed project does not serve a Metro-defined EFC.	0 Points

Regional Impact – 2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games (5 Points):

The proposed project demonstrates a clear nexus to supporting public access to a 2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games venue; the proposed project has demonstrated that it can be completed in time for the 2028 Olympic Games.	5 Points
The proposed project does not directly support public access to a 2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games venue and/or cannot be completed in time for the 2028 Olympic Games.	0 Points

Demonstrated Support - Community Outreach (10 Points):

The applicant clearly and convincingly describes who was engaged in the identification and development of the project and documents that the engagement included all appropriate levels of public and governmental stakeholders, highlights evidence of a community-based public participation process, and showcases community support for the project.	10 Points
The applicant sufficiently demonstrates who was engaged in the identification and development of the project and documents that the engagement included all appropriate levels of public and governmental stakeholders, highlights evidence of a community-based public participation process, and showcases community support for the project.	7 Points
The applicant somewhat demonstrates who was engaged in the identification and development of the project and documents that the engagement included all appropriate levels of public and governmental stakeholders, highlights evidence of a community-based public participation process, and showcases community support for the project.	4 Points
The applicant fails to demonstrate who was engaged in the identification and development of the project and documents that the engagement included all appropriate levels of public and governmental stakeholders, highlights evidence of a community-based public participation process, and showcases community support for the project.	0 Points

Demonstrated Support - Committed Partnerships (10 Points):

The applicant clearly and convincingly describes the roles and responsibilities of partnering jurisdictions and includes letters of commitment/support from each partnering jurisdiction.	10 Points
The applicant sufficiently demonstrates the roles and responsibilities of partnering jurisdictions and includes letters of commitment/support from each partnering jurisdiction.	7 Points

<p>The applicant somewhat demonstrates the roles and responsibilities of partnering jurisdictions. The applicant failed to include all letters of commitment/support from each of the partnering jurisdictions.</p>	<p>4 Points</p>
<p>The applicant failed to demonstrate the roles and responsibilities of partnering jurisdictions. The applicant also failed to include all letters of commitment/support from each of the partnering jurisdictions.</p>	<p>0 Points</p>

Demonstrated Support - Regional Plan Adoption (5 Bonus Points):

<p>The proposed project was listed in the Metro Mobility Matrix, the Metro Long Range Transportation Plan, the Metro Strategic Project List, the SCAG Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (Connect SoCal Plan), San Gabriel Valley Greenway Network Strategic Implementation Plan, or other adopted regional plans OR the proposal includes a project in a San Gabriel Valley active transportation corridor listed in the Metro Active Transportation Strategic Plan.</p>	<p>5 Bonus Points</p>
<p>The proposed project was not listed in the Metro Mobility Matrix, the Metro Long Range Transportation Plan, the Metro Strategic Project List, the SCAG Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (Connect SoCal Plan), San Gabriel Valley Greenway Network Strategic Implementation Plan, or other adopted regional plans.</p> <p>Additionally, the proposal does not include a project in a San Gabriel Valley active transportation corridor listed in the Metro Active Transportation Strategic Plan.</p>	<p>0 Bonus Points</p>