



SGVCOG Planners TAC Meeting Minutes

Date: February 28, 2019
 Time: 12:00 P.M.
 Location: Monrovia Community Center
 119 West Palm Avenue; Monrovia, CA 91016

PRELIMINARY BUSINESS

1. Call to Order. The meeting was called to order at 12:00 P.M.
2. Roll Call

Planners TAC Members Present

V. Reynoso, P. Lam; Alhambra
 B. Johnson; Claremont
 B. Lee; Covina
 C. Hensley, J. Golding; Duarte
 J. Kugel; Glendora
 M. McCurley; La Verne
 S. Bermejo, C. Jimenez; Monrovia
 A. Lao; Rosemead
 L. Stevens, A. Garcia; San Dimas
 M. Chang; San Gabriel
 C. Lin; Sierra Madre
 I. McAleese; South El Monte
 J. Anderson; West Covina
 J. Drevno, N. Ornelas, Jr.; LA County DRP

Planners TAC Members Absent

Arcadia
 Diamond Bar
 El Monte
 Irwindale
 Montebello
 Monterey Park
 South Pasadena
 Temple City

SGVCOG Staff

P. Duyshart

Guests

M. Munoz; City of Azusa
 A. Ross; LA County Dept. of Public Works

3. Public Comment.

No public comment.

CONSENT CALENDAR

4. Planners TAC Meeting Minutes – 01/24/2019

There was a motion made to approve the Consent Calendar (M/S: L. Stevens/B. Johnson).

[Motion Passed]

Ayes	Alhambra, Claremont, Covina, Duarte, Glendora, La Verne, Monrovia, Rosemead, San Dimas, San Gabriel, Sierra Madre, South El Monte, West Covina
Noes	
Abstain	

Absent	Arcadia, Diamond Bar, El Monte, Irwindale, Montebello, Monterey Park, South Pasadena, Temple City, LA County DRP
--------	--

PRESENTATIONS

5. SB 2 Planning Grants Program, Year 1 Guidelines

Peter Duyshart of the SGVCOG provided the presentation on this item. For this grant program, local governments are able to apply for funding for a plethora of activities which are meant to allow for an increase in housing production in their respective jurisdictions. These eligible projects may include:

- Updates to:
 - General plans
 - Community plans
 - Specific plans
 - Local coastal plans
 - Local planning related to implementation of sustainable communities strategies
 - Zoning ordinances
- Environmental analyses that eliminate the need for project-specific review
- Local process improvements which expedite and streamline processes related to local planning and permitting

Questions/Discussion: The following issues were asked about and discussed:

- There were a couple of questions from agencies in attendance regarding if agencies could submit multiple planning projects for SB 2 planning grant funding, or if cities or the county could only pursue one planning project under this grant program.

6. Metro Open Streets Grant Program, FY 2020 Mini-cycle Application and Guidelines

Peter Duyshart of the SGVCOG provided the presentation on this item. Duyshart gave information to the TAC related to how much funding is available under this grant program, Metro’s application scoring system, key application and project deadlines, and next steps.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

7. Follow up: Housing, Planning, Development Legislative Preview for the 2019-2020 State of California Legislative Session

P. Duyshart of the SGVCOG explained how SGVCOG Staff is seeking direction from the Planning TAC regarding which bills the SGVCOG should monitor or even take a position on. He encouraged TAC members to share bills of concern with him either during the course of the TAC meeting, or through email after the meeting.

The 26 pieces of legislation that Mr. Duyshart provided an overview of at the January 2019 meeting are as follows:

- Zoning and Land Use Legislation: SB 50 (Wiener) & SB 4 (McGuire and Beall)
- Low-income and Affordable Housing Legislation: AB 10 (Chiu, Bonta, Maienschein, Reyes, and Wicks), SB 9 (Beall), ACA 1 (Curry), AB 14 (Rivas), AB 36 (Bloom), & SCA 1 (Allen & Wiener)
- Accessory Dwelling Units Legislation: AB 68 (Ting), AB 69 (Ting), & SB 13 (Wieckowski)
- Redevelopment Legislation: AB 11 (Chiu, et al.), SB 5 (Beall and McGuire), SB 15 (Portantino), & AB 180 (Gipson)

- Homelessness Legislation: AB 22 (Burke), SB 48 (Wiener), AB 58 (Rivas), SB 18 (Skinner), AB 67 (Rivas), & AB 139 (Quirk-Silva)
- General Housing-Related Legislation: SB 6 (Beall and McGuire), SB 25 (Caballero), AB 53 (Jones-Sawyer and Bonta), AB 178 (Dahle), AB 191 (Patterson)

Questions/Discussion: The following issues were asked about and discussed:

- Members of the TAC came to a consensus that they are most concerned with bills which look to reduce or limit local control on planning zoning and housing matters, as well as bills which pertain to lowering a city’s ability to regulate ADU housing projects.
- SGVCOG staff agreed to monitor bills which pertained to these policy issues and report back to the TAC for possible future action on these particular pieces of legislation.

8. Local Agency Responses to Dockless Scooters

Peter Duyshart of the SGVCOG explained how the SGVCOG was interested to hear from its member agencies about efforts and actions that cities and local agencies are taking in response to the influx of dockless ride share scooters in Southern California communities. SGVCOG staff also wanted this discussion forum so that cities could share best policy practices and ideas with each other as many cities deliberate on which, if any, actions to take to regulate scooter use in their respective communities.

Questions/Discussion: The following issues were asked about and discussed:

- One City noted how Bird Scooters suddenly started showing up through their city’s streets on one weekend. They explained how since Bird considers itself a contractor, people were able to quickly distribute scooters throughout the community. Since there were multiple helmet-related and safety issues, the City Council to formal action to prohibit the scooters within the city’s jurisdiction.
- Another City noted how there were similar scooter-related circumstances in their jurisdiction, and its Council eventually voted to prohibit the scooters, too.
- A third SGV agency noted how Lime is pulling all of its bikes from that city because Lime is solely focusing on its scooter business not instead of the bicycles.
- One TAC member pointed out how cities might have to consider the fact that Metro is considering fees on TMCs, and bike and scooter share companies throughout LA County, and what the impacts on this market could be if the tax is passed and implemented. The member also noted how we need to keep an eye on Metro’s actions on this to see if they’ll try to usurp cities’ authority on this.
- One agency provided an example of a pilot program related to scooters. This agency explained how it is working on crafting a pilot program in order to permit and regulate the scooters through the end of 2019. The agency had to work with the scooter company to move the scooters out of the jurisdiction until the pilot program is in place.

UPDATE ITEMS

9. Recap of Metro PAC Meeting Pertaining to the “Twenty-Eight by ’28 Initiative”

Larry Stevens of the City of San Dimas provided the update for this item. He went over the concept of de-coupling the new “Re-Imagining of LA County Initiative” from the existing “Twenty-Eight by ’28 Initiative,” and reported how the Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) suggested to Metro that any new funding revenues which result from “Re-Imagining of LA County” go toward reducing congestion countywide, and not just toward building the remaining 28x28 projects. One

of the central questions on this matter is: even with all of the financing which might result from new funding measures and fees, is it even possible to raise the \$26.8 billion which is needed to build the remaining unfunded Measure M projects. Stevens also discussed the Gold Line Phase 2B funding shortfalls issues and the plans for Measure M local return funding.

10. Measure M MSP Funding Update

P. Duyshart gave the update on this item. He notified TAC members that the SGVCOG formally submitted the draft of its MSP 5-Year Plans to Metro for review on February 6. Metro has 60 days to review the draft plans and recommended projects for eligibility, and during this time, they hold the right to request additional project information from each of the cities or agencies which submitted a project for MSP funding. COG staff will continue to keep pertinent cities and agencies apprised of new MSP developments and timeline updates.

11. ACE Capital Projects and Construction Update

P. Duyshart also gave the update on this item. He reminded members of the TAC that the COG is re-opening the Capital Projects Review and solicitation process. Mr. Duyshart then announced that cities which want to submit possible capital projects that they would want the COG to take over construction management of to notify the COG, in writing, of which projects they want the COG to consider as soon as possible.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

12. The California Natural Resources Agency's Green Infrastructure Grant Program

P. Duyshart notified Planning TAC members of the various grants which will be available through the California Natural Resources Agency over the course of 2019 to funding different green infrastructure projects, including green streets, tree planting, multi-use trails, etc. These programs are funded through Proposition 68 and the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. These grant programs include:

- Green Infrastructure
- Trails and Greenways
- Urban Stormwater and Waterways Improvement
- Urban Greening Round 3

CHAIR'S REPORT

13. Solicitation of presentation topics

There was no discussion on this item.

14. Current City Projects

There was no discussion of city projects.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

C. Hensley, the Chair of the Planning TAC, announced that the next Planning Directors' TAC Meeting will be on Thursday, March 28th, 2019.

ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 1:13 P.M.